arXiv:1610.08191v1 [math.RT] 26 Oct 2016

Cohomology rings, differential graded algebras and derived equivalences

SHENGYONG PAN, ZHEN PENG AND JIE ZHANG

Abstract

We first construct derived equivalences of differential graded algebras which are endomorphism algebras of the objects from a triangle in the homotopy category of differential graded algebras. Secondly, we obtain the derived equivalences of differential graded endomorphism algebras from a standard derived equivalences of finite dimensional algebras. Moreover, under some conditions, the cohomology rings of these differential graded endomorphism algebras are also derived equivalent. This gives an affirmative answer to a problem of Dugas [Dug15] in some special case.

1 Introduction

Derived equivalences were introduced by Grothendieck and Verdier and play an important role nowadays in many branches of algebraic geometry, in algebraic analysis, noncommutative algebraic geometry, representation theory, mathematical physics. They capture much of the homological information of an abelian category. For example, the Grothendieck group of an abelian group is preserved under derived equivalences, and derived equivalent algebras have the same Hochschild cohomology.

Important explicit derived equivalences were constructed by Happel [Hap88] in tilting theory and by Rickard [Ric89] in tilting complexes. Keller's ICM talk [Kel06], for instance, justifies derived equivalences by the unbounded derived category of differential graded categories [Kel94]. There are two natural two directions of research: How to give systematic methods for constructing derived equivalences, and how to produce new derived equivalences from given ones.

Recently, Hu and Xi constructed in [HX13] the derived equivalence for Φ-Auslander-Yoneda algebras. We want mention that derived equivalence for Φ-Cohen-Macaulay Auslander-Yoneda algebras were also constructed in [Pan14]. Recall that the Φ-Auslander-Yoneda algebras [HX13, Section 3], include, for instance, Auslander algebras, generalized Yoneda algebras and certain trivial extensions. By the definition, Φ-Auslander-Yoneda algebras can be characterized as the cohomology rings of some dg algebras. Hence, it is important to consider derived equivalences for some dg algebras. It is due to Dugas [Dug15], who proposed the following problem.

Problem. Let Λ and Γ be differential graded algebras. Describe the procedure of [Dug15, Theorem 5.2], yielding derived equivalences between the cohomology rings $H^*(\Lambda)$ and $H^*(\Gamma)$, on the level of the differential graded algebras Λ and Γ. In particular, is the derived equivalence between cohomology rings of a shadow of some deeper type of equivalence between Λ and Γ?

Motivated by the above problem, we look for in this paper a general way to get derived equivalences of differential graded algebras in two directions.

By considering a triangle

$$X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X[1]$$

in the homotopy category $\mathscr{K}(A)$ of a differential graded algebra A, we show that there is a derived equivalence between differential graded algebras $\mathscr{H}om(X \oplus Y, X \oplus Y)$ and $\mathscr{H}om(Y \oplus Z, Y \oplus Z)$. See Theorem 3.5 for more details. Moreover, under some mild conditions, we get the cohomology rings of these differential graded algebra are also derived equivalent. This gives an affirmative answer to a problem of Dugas [Dug15, Section 7] in some special case. Note that it is still an open question that if derived equivalences for differential graded algebras implies derived equivalence the corresponding cohomology rings of these differential graded algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18E30,16G10;16S10,18G15.

We also study a standard derived equivalence

$$F : \mathscr{D}^b(\text{mod-}B) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}^b(\text{mod-}C)$$

of two finite dimensional algebras B and C. By considering the additive functor $\underline{F} : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B \to \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C$ introduced in [HX10], we get a derived equivalence between differential graded algebras $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_B(B \oplus X, B \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_C(C \oplus \underline{F}(X), C \oplus \underline{F}(X))$, where X is an B-module. See Theorem 4.5. If $X \in {}^{\perp}B$, then the cohomology rings $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(A \oplus X)$ and $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$ of $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_A(A \oplus X, A \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_B(B \oplus \underline{F}(X), B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$, respectively, are derived equivalent by [Pan14, Theorem 1.1]. This gives an affirmative answer to a question of Pan [Pan14, Section 4] which is in spirit to a question of Dugas [Dug15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and facts of derived categories and derived equivalences. Section 2.3 is devoted to studying differential graded bimodules for later use. The construction of derived equivalences from the triangles in the homotopy category of differential graded algebras will be given in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, we construct derived equivalences for differential graded algebras from standard derived equivalences of finite dimensional algebras, and the cohomology rings of these differential graded algebra are also derived equivalent.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and collect some basic facts of derived categories and derived equivalences.

In this paper, we fix a commutative ring k with identity. All algebras are k-algebras, and functors are k-functors. The composite of two morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ in a category $\mathcal{C}$ will be denoted by gf. If $f : X \to Y$ is a map between two sets, then the image of an element $x \in X$ will be denoted by $f(x)$. However, we will deal with functors in a different manner. The composite of two functors $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$ will be denoted by GF. For each object X in $\mathcal{C}$, we write $F(X)$ for the corresponding object in $\mathcal{D}$, and for each morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ we write $F(f)$ for the corresponding morphism in $\mathcal{D}$ from $F(X)$ to $F(Y)$.

For an object M in a k-category $\mathcal{C}$, we use add(M) to denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of M. If $\mathcal{C}$ admits infinite coproducts, then Add(M) means the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of direct summands of all coproducts of copies of M.

For generality, we shall consider arbitrary k-algebras. All modules will be unitary right modules. Let A be a k-algebra. The category of all right A-modules will be denoted by Mod-A. We use mod-A to denote the full subcategory of Mod-A consisting of finitely presented A-modules, that is, A-modules X admitting a projective presentation $P_1 \to P_0 \longrightarrow X \to 0$ with P_i finitely generated projective for $i = 0, 1$. The category of all projective A-modules is denoted by Proj-A, and the category of all finitely generated projective A-modules is denoted by proj-A.

2.1 Derived equivalences for rings

Let A be a k-algebra, a complex $X^\bullet$ over A is a sequences d_X^i between A-modules X^i:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_X^{i-1}} X^i \xrightarrow{d_X^i} X^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_X^{i+1}} \cdots$$

such that $d_X^i d_X^{i+1} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The category of complexes of A-modules, in which morphisms are chain maps, is denoted by $\mathscr{C}$(Mod-A), and the corresponding homotopy category is denoted by $\mathscr{K}$(Mod-A). We write $\mathscr{D}$(Mod-A) for the derived category of Mod-A. We also write $\mathscr{K}^b$(Mod-A), $\mathscr{K}^-$(Mod-A) and $\mathscr{K}^+$(Mod-A) for the full subcategories of $\mathscr{K}$(Mod-A) consisting of bounded complexes, complexes bounded above, and complexes bounded below, respectively. Note that there is a full embedding Mod-$A \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}$(Mod-$A$) by viewing an A-module as a complex in $\mathscr{D}$(Mod-A) concentrated in degree zero.

The homotopy category of an additive category, and the derived category of an abelian category are both triangulated categories. For basic facts on triangulated categories, we refer to Neeman's book [Nee01]. The shift functor of a triangulated category will be denoted by [1] in this paper. In the homotopy category, or the derived category of an abelian category, the shift functor acts on a complex by moving the complex to the left by one degree, and changing the sign of the differentials.

Two algebras A and B are said to be *derived equivalent* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds

(1). $\mathscr{D}$(Mod-A) and $\mathscr{D}$(Mod-B) are equivalent as triangulated categories.
(2). $\mathscr{D}^-$(Mod-A) and $\mathscr{D}^-$(Mod-B) are equivalent as triangulated categories.
(3). $\mathscr{D}^b$(Mod-A) and $\mathscr{D}^b$(Mod-B) are equivalent as triangulated categories.
(4). $\mathscr{K}^b$(Proj-A) and $\mathscr{K}^b$(Proj-B) are equivalent as triangulated categories.
(5). $\mathscr{K}^b$(proj-A) and $\mathscr{K}^b$(proj-B) are equivalent as triangulated categories.
(6). There is a complex $T^\bullet$ in $\mathscr{K}^b$(proj-A) satisfying the conditions:
  (a). $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}^b(\text{proj-}A)}(T^\bullet, T^\bullet[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$,
  (b). add($T^\bullet$) generates $\mathscr{K}^b$(proj-A) as a triangulated category,
  such that the endomorphism algebra of $T^\bullet$ in $\mathscr{K}^b$(proj-A) is isomorphic to B.

For the proof that the above conditions are indeed equivalent, we refer to [Ric89, Kel94].

(7). $\mathscr{D}^b$(mod-A) and $\mathscr{D}^b$(mod-B) are equivalent as triangulated categories.

Note that in this case the category mod-A of finitely presented A-modules is an abelian category. A complex $T^\bullet$ satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) above is called a *tilting complex*.

A triangle equivalence functor $F : \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}A) \to \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}B)$ is called a *derived equivalence*. It is well-known that the image $F(A)$ is isomorphic in $\mathscr{D}^b$(Mod-B) to a tilting complex, and there is a tilting complex $T^\bullet$ over A such that $F(T^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to B in $\mathscr{D}$(Mod-B). The complex $T^\bullet$ is called an *associated tilting complex* of F. The following is an easy lemma for the associated tilting complexes. Its proof can be found, for example, in [HX10, Lemma 2.1]

Lemma 2.1. *Consider a derived equivalence $F : \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}B)$ of two k-algebras A and B. Suppose that $F(A)$ is isomorphic in $\mathscr{D}$(mod-B) to a complex $\overline{T}^\bullet \in \mathscr{K}^b$(proj-$B$) of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{T}^0 \longrightarrow \overline{T}^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{T}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

for some $n \geq 0$. Then $F^{-1}(B)$ is isomorphic in $\mathscr{D}$(Mod-A) to a complex $T^\bullet \in \mathscr{K}^b$(proj-$A$) of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow T^{-n} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow T^{-1} \longrightarrow T^0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

2.2 Differential graded algebras and their derived categories

In this subsection, we will need some background on differential graded algebras and their derived categories. Interpreting differential graded algebras as differential graded categories with just one object, the material is a particular case of the development in [Kel94, Kel06, NS12, NS14, CY15].

2.2.1 Graded rings and graded modules

Let k be a commutative ring with identity. A k-algebra A is called a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded k-algebra if

$$A = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} A^i$$

(as k-modules) and

$$A^i A^j \subseteq A^{i+j}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $A = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} A^i$ is a graded k-algebra, then A^0 is a a subring of A and A^i is an A^0-module for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.2. *If A is a k-algebra, then A is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded k-algebra $\widetilde{A}$ with $\widetilde{A}^0 = A$ and $\widetilde{A}^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.*

An A-module M is called a graded right A-algebra if

$$M = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M^i$$

(as k-modules) and

$$M^i A^j \subseteq M^{i+j}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let M, N be graded right A-modules. $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is called a graded right A-module homomorphism if f is an A-module homomorphism and

$$F(M_i) \subseteq N_i$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by Gr-A the category of graded right A-modules with graded A-module homomorphisms. Similarly, we denote by A-Gr the category of graded left A-modules with graded A-module homomorphisms. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we associate a graded A-module $M < i >$ such that $M < i >= M$ as ungraded A-modules with the grading given by

$$(M < i >)^j = M^{i+j}.$$

This functor yields an automorphism

$$< i >: \text{Gr-}A \longrightarrow \text{Gr-}A$$

of categories.

2.2.2 Differential graded algebras and differential graded modules

Recall that a differential graded k-algebra (=dg algebra) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded associated k-algebra $A = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} A^i$ with a differential $d : A^i \to A^{i+1}$ satisfying the graded Leibniz rule

$$d(ab) = d(a)b + (-1)^p ad(b),$$

$\forall a \in A^p$ and $b \in A$.

Example 2.3. *[Kel98] Let A be a k-algebra and*

$$X^\bullet =: \cdots \to X^{i-1} \stackrel{d^{i-1}}{\to} X^i \stackrel{d^i}{\to} X^{i+1} \to \cdots$$

be a complex of A-modules. Thus $X^\bullet$ is a graded A-module. Then

$$\text{Hom}_A^\bullet(X^\bullet, X^\bullet) = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr-}A}(X^\bullet, X^\bullet < i >)$$

is a graded algebra with the differential

$$d : \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Gr}}(X^\bullet, X^\bullet < i >) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr-}A}(X^\bullet, X^\bullet < i+1 >)$$

defined by

$$d(f) = (d \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d),$$

where $|f|$ denotes the degree of f. In fact,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr-}A}(X^\bullet, X^\bullet < n >) = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_A(X^i, X^{i+n}),$$

we get a dg algebra $B = \text{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(X^\bullet, X^\bullet)$ with the component

$$B^n = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_A(X^i, X^{i+n})$$

and the differential defined by

$$d(f) = (d \circ f + (-1)^{|f|+1} f \circ d),$$

where $|f|$ denotes the degree of f.

Recall that a dg right A-module is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded right A-module $M = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M^i$ with a graded k-linear differential $d : M^i \to M^{i+1}$ such that

$$d(ma) = d(m)a + (-1)^n md(a), \forall m \in M^n, a \in A.$$

It is useful to look at each dg A-module as a complex

$$\cdots \to M^{i-1} \stackrel{d^{i-1}}{\to} M^i \stackrel{d^i}{\to} M^{i+1} \to \cdots$$

of k-modules with some extra properties.

A morphism between dg A-modules $f : M \to N$ is a morphism of the underlying graded A-modules which is homogeneous of degree zero and commutes with the differential.

A differential graded left A-module is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded left A-module $M = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M^i$ with a graded k-linear differential $d : M^i \to M^{i+1}$ such that

$$d(am) = d(a)m + (-1)^n ad(m), \forall a \in A^n, m \in M.$$

Denote by A^{op} the opposite dg algebra of A. $A^{op} = A$ as graded k-modules with the same differential, and the multiplication " $\star$ " on A^{op} is given by

$$a \star b = (-1)^{|a||b|} ba.$$

We identify a left dg A-module M as a right dg A^{op}-module as follows:

$$ma = (-1)^{|a||m|} am$$

for homogeneous elements $a \in A^{op}$ and $m \in M$.

Example 2.4. *In the situation of the above example, each complex $Y^\bullet$ of right A-modules gives rise to a dg right B-module* $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ *with the B-action*

$$(g^i)(f^i) = g^{i+n} f^i,$$

where $(f^i) \in B^n$ and $(g^i) \in \mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)^n$, such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X^\bullet, X^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$$

is a chain map. On the other hand, $X^\bullet$ is a left dg B-module with the action $(f^i)(x^j) = (f^i(x^j))$, such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X^\bullet, X^\bullet) \otimes X^\bullet \longrightarrow X^\bullet$$

is a chain map.

Let M and N be right dg A-modules. Set

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(M, N)^n = \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{Gr-}A}(M, N < n >)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it consists of k-linear maps $f : M \longrightarrow N$ which are homogeneous of degree n and satisfy $f(ma) = f(m)a$ for all homogeneous elements $a \in A$. The graded vector space

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(M, N) = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{H}om_A(M, N)^i = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{Gr-}A}(M, N < i >)$$

has a natural differential d such that

$$d(f) = d_N \circ f + (-1)^{|f|+1} f \circ d_M.$$

Furthermore, $\mathscr{H}om_A(M, M)$ becomes a dg algebra with this differential and the usual composition as multiplication.

2.2.3 Derived category of dg module categories

Let A be a dg k-algebra. The category $\mathscr{C}(A)$ has as objects the dg A-modules. A morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathscr{C}(A)$ is a morphism in Gr-A which is a chain map of complex of k-modules. We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}(A)}(X, Y) = \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y)) = \{f \in \mathscr{H}om_A(M, N)^0 | d(f) = 0\}.$$

Note that $\mathscr{C}(A)$ is an abelian category and comes with a canonical shifting

$$[1] : \mathscr{C}(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}(A)$$

which comes from the canonical shifting of Gr-A, by defining

$$d^n_{M[1]} = d^{n+1}_M$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have an obvious faithful forgetful functor

$$F : \mathscr{C}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Gr-}A$$

which is also dense since we can interpret each graded A-module as an object of $\mathscr{C}(A)$ with zero differential. Viewing the objects of $\mathscr{C}(A)$ as complexes of k-modules, we clearly have, for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the p-th homology functor

$$H^p : \mathscr{C}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}k.$$

A morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathscr{C}(A)$ is called a quasi-isomorphism when $H^p(f)$ is an isomorphism, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. A dg A-module X is called acyclic when $H^p(X) = 0$, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Given any dg A-module $X = \oplus X^i$, we define a functor $F_\rho : \text{Gr-}A \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}(A)$ given by

$$F_\rho(X) = X \oplus X < 1 >$$

as graded A-modules. For a map $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr-}A}(X, Y)$, $F_\rho(f) : F_\rho(X) \longrightarrow F_\rho(Y)$ given by

$$F_\rho(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right]) = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f(x) \\ f(y) \end{smallmatrix}\right].$$

Then we get a dg A-module $F_\rho(X) = X \oplus X < 1 >$ given by

$$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right] a = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} xa \\ ya + (-1)^{|x|} x d(a) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$$

with the differential $d = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. It is well-known that (F, F_ρ) is an adjoint pair. Note that $F_\rho F(X)$ is a projective-injective object in $\mathscr{C}(A)$, and we have a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow F_\rho F(X) \longrightarrow X[1] \longrightarrow 0$$

which splits in Gr-A but not in $\mathscr{C}(A)$. The exact structure on $\mathscr{C}(A)$ is the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathscr{C}(A)$ which is split in Gr-A. With this exact structure, $\mathscr{C}(A)$ is a Frobenius exact category. Then the stable category $\mathscr{C}(A)$ with respect to the given exact structure is denoted by $\mathscr{K}(A)$, and is called the homotopy category of A. It is a triangulated category, and each triangle in $\mathscr{K}(A)$ is isomorphic to

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} X[1] \oplus Y \xrightarrow{[0\ 1]} X[1]$$

for some $f : X \longrightarrow Y$. Let $f : M \to N$ be a morphism of dg A-modules. We say that f is a null-homotopic if we get

$$f = dr + rd,$$

where $r : M \to N$ is a morphism of the underlying graded A-modules which is homogeneous of degree -1. Denote by Σ the class of all homotopy class of quasi-isomorphisms. Then Σ is a multiplication system in $\mathscr{K}(A)$ compatible with the triangulation and the localization

$$\mathscr{D}(A) := \mathscr{K}(A)[\Sigma^{-1}]$$

is called the derived category of A. It is also a triangulated category with translation functor [1] induced from $\mathscr{C}(A)$.

For two dg A-modules X and Y, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X, Y[n]) \simeq H^n(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y))$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We observe an isomorphism $\mathscr{H}om_A(A, X) \simeq X$ of complexes sending f to $f(1)$. Then the above isomorphism induces the following isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(A, Y[n]) \simeq H^n(X).$$

It is well-known that $\mathscr{D}(A)$ can be realized as the Verdier quotient category of $\mathscr{K}(A)$ by its full subcategory of acyclic dg A-modules. A dg A-module P is called homotopically projective if $\mathscr{H}om_A(P, M) = 0$, for every acyclic dg A-module M, which is equivalent to the condition that the canonical functor $\mathscr{K}(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}(A)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(P, X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}(A)}(P, X)$$

for any dg A-module X.

For a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ and a class $\mathcal{S}$ of objects, we denote by $\text{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}\, \mathcal{S}$ the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing $\mathcal{S}$. Recall that a thick subcategory is a triangulated subcategory which is closed under direct summands. We say an object M in $\mathcal{T}$ is compact if the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(M, -)$ commutes with arbitrary coproducts. Denote by $\mathcal{T}^c$ the full subcategory consisting of compact objects. It is a thick subcategory.

The following is a very well-known fact [Kel94, theorem 5.3].

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a dg algebra. The compact objects of $\mathscr{D}(A)$ are precisely the objects of* $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(A)}(A) := \mathrm{per}\, A$.

The triangulated subcategory $\mathrm{per}\, A$ is called the perfect derived category of A. The following lemma is the Morita theory for derived categories of dg sense which was proved by Keller [Kel94].

Lemma 2.6. [*Kel94*] *Let A be a dg algebra and N be a homotopically projective dg A-module which is a compact generator in $\mathscr{D}(A)$. Let $B = \mathscr{H}om_A(N, N)$. Then $\mathscr{D}(A)$ and $\mathscr{D}(B)$ are triangle equivalent.*

2.3 Differential graded bimodules

We give in this subsection some useful lemmas for later use. Let A and B be two dg algebras. Recall that a dg A-B-bimodule X is a left dg A-module as well as right dg B-module such that

$$d(amb) = (da)mb + (-1)^p a(dm)b + (-1)^{p+q} am(db),$$

for all $a \in A^p, m \in M$ and $b \in B^q$. And the canonical map

$$A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_B(X, X),$$

sending a to l_a with $l_a(x) = ax$ is a homomorphism of dg algebras. Similarly, the canonical map

$$B \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_{A^{op}}(X, X)^{op},$$

sending b to r_b with $r_b(x) = (-1)^{|b||x|}xb$ is a homomorphism of dg algebras.

Recall that A dg A-B-bimodule X is called left quasi-balanced if the canonical map $A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_B(X, X)$ of dg algebras is a quasi-isomorphism. Dually, X is called right quasi-balanced if the canonical map $B \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_{A^{op}}(X, X)$ of dg algebras is a quasi-isomorphism. If both the canonical map $A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_B(X, X)$ and the canonical map $B \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_{A^{op}}(X, X)$ of dg algebras are quasi-isomorphisms, then X is called quasi-balanced bimodule.

Let X be a dg A-B-bimodule. Then $\mathscr{H}om_B({}_AX_B, Y_B)$ becomes a right dg A-module by

$$(fa)(x) = f(ax)$$

for $f \in \mathscr{H}om_B({}_AX_B, Y_B)$ and $x \in X$. Similarly, $\mathscr{H}om({}_AX_B,{}_A Y)$ is a left dg B-module by

$$(bf)(x) = (-)^{|x|(|f|+|b|)} f(xb)$$

for $f \in \mathscr{H}om_B({}_AX_B,{}_A Y)$ and $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let ${}_AM_B$ be a dg A-B-bimodule such that M_B is a compact generator in $\mathscr{D}(B)$ and the canonical map*

$$A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_B(M, M), (a \mapsto (m \mapsto am)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras. Then $- \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A M$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_B(M, -)$ induces mutually inverse equivalences between $\mathscr{D}(A)$ and $\mathscr{D}(B)$.

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ is a dg algebra homomorphism. If ϕ is a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras, then $- \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A B$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_B(B, -)$ induces mutually inverse equivalences between $\mathscr{D}(A)$ and $\mathscr{D}(B)$.*

3 Derived equivalences of dg-algebras induced from triangles

Let A be a dg algebra in this section, we study its homotopy category $\mathscr{K}(A)$. We get derived equivalences of two dg algebras which are obtained from some triangles in $\mathscr{K}(A)$. As an application, we give an affirmative answer to question of Dugas [Dug15]. First we need the following well-known observation.

Lemma 3.1. *[IT13, Lemma 8.4] Let $F : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ be a triangle functor of triangulated categories and T an object in $\mathcal{T}$. If*

$$F_{T,T} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, T[n]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}'}(F(T), F(T)[n])$$

is an isomorphism for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$F : \mathrm{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}(T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'$$

is fully faithful.

The following lemma generalizes [IT13, Lemma 8.5] to dg algebra case.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a dg A-module and $\Lambda = \mathscr{H}om_A(X, X)$. The we have a triangle equivalence between* $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X)$ *and* $\mathrm{per}\,\Lambda$.

Proof. Consider the following functor

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(X, -) : \mathscr{C}(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}(\Lambda)$$

which sends Y to a dg Λ-module $\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y)$. A map $f : Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2$ in $\mathscr{C}(A)$ yields

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(X, f) : \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y_1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y_2).$$

Then this functor sends a null-homotopic morphism of dg A-modules to a null-homotopic morphism of dg Λ-modules. Therefore, $\mathscr{H}om_A(X, -)$ induces a triangle functor $\mathscr{K}(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}(\Lambda)$. By composing with the canonical functor $\mathscr{K}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}(\Lambda)$, we have a triangle functor

$$\mathscr{K}(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}(\Lambda)$$

which sends X to Λ. We thus get a triangle functor from $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X)$ to $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)}(\Lambda) = \mathrm{per}\,\Lambda$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X, X[n]) & \xrightarrow{F_{X,X[n]}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, \Lambda[n]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H^n(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^n(\Lambda), \end{array}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It completes the proof by Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose X and Y are dg A-modules such that $Y \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X)$. Let $\Lambda = \mathscr{H}om_A(X, X)$. Then we have the following quasi-isomorphism:*

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(Y, Z) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_\Lambda(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y), \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)) \quad f \mapsto (g \mapsto f \circ g),$$

for any dg A-module Z.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the functor $\mathscr{H}om_A(X, -)$ induces a triangle functor $\mathscr{K}(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}(\Lambda)$. Applying the functor H^i to

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(Y, Z) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_B(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y), \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)),$$

it yields that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(Y, Z[i]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(\Lambda)}(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y), \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)[i]).$$

We now let

$$\mathscr{X} = \{Y \in \mathscr{K}(A) | \text{ for any object } Z \in \mathscr{K}(A), \text{the functor } \mathscr{H}om_A(X, -) \text{ induces the following isomorphism:}$$

$$Hom_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(Y, Z[i]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(\Lambda)}(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y), \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)[i])\}.$$

Then $\mathscr{X}$ is a subcategory of $\mathscr{K}(A)$. Clearly, $\mathscr{X}$ is closed under shifts and direct summands. Suppose that

$$Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2 \longrightarrow Y_3 \longrightarrow Y_1[1]$$

is a triangle in $\mathscr{K}(A)$ with $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathscr{X}$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathscr{K}(A)(Y_1[1], Z[i]) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{K}(A)(Y_3, Z[i]) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{K}(A)(Y_2, Z[i]) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{K}(A)(Y_1, Z[i]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathscr{K}(\Lambda)((X, Y_1[1]), (X, Z)[i]) & \succ & \mathscr{K}(\Lambda)((X, Y_3), (X, Z)[i]) & \succ & \mathscr{K}(\Lambda)((X, Y_2), (X, Z)[i]) & \succ & \mathscr{K}(\Lambda)((X, Y_1), (X, Z)[i]). \end{array}$$

It follows that $Y_3 \in \mathscr{X}$. Therefore, $\mathscr{X}$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathscr{K}(A)$. If $Y = X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(\Lambda)}(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, X), \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, \mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)[i]) \\ \simeq H^i(\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Z)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X, Z[i]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $X \in \mathscr{X}$. Consequently, $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X) \in \mathscr{X}$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that X and Y are dg A-modules such that $Y \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X)$ and $X \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(Y)$. Let $\Lambda = \mathscr{H}om_A(X,X)$ and $\Gamma = \mathscr{H}om_A(Y,Y)$. Then there is a triangle equivalence between $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathscr{D}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Consider the dg Γ-Λ-bimodule $\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have the following quasi-isomorphism:

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(Y,Y) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_\Lambda(\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y), \mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)) \quad f \mapsto (g \mapsto f \circ g).$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(X,X) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}om_{\Gamma^{op}}(\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y), \mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)) \quad f \mapsto (g \mapsto (-1)^{|f||g|} g \circ f).$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras. Then the dg Γ-Λ-bimodule $\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)$ is quasi-balanced.

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)_\Lambda \in \mathrm{per}\,\Lambda$$

and

$${}_\Gamma\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y) \in \mathrm{per}\,\Gamma^{op},$$

since $Y \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X)$ and $X \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(Y)$. Thus the dg Γ-Λ-bimodule $\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)$ is homologically quasi-balanced by [NS12, Definition 5.10]. So $\Lambda \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)}(\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)_\Lambda)$. Then

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)}(\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)_\Lambda) = \mathrm{per}\,\Lambda.$$

Consequently, $\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)$ is a compact generator for $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)$. By Lemma 2.7, we have a triangle equivalence between $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathscr{D}(\Gamma)$ defined by the dg Γ-Λ-bimodule $\mathscr{H}om_A(X,Y)$. □

The following is the main result in this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a dg A-module in homotopy category $\mathscr{K}(A)$ of dg algebra A and*

$$X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$$

be a triangle in $\mathscr{K}(A)$ with $M_1 \in < M >$, where $< M >$ denotes the full additive subcategory generated by $\cup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\,\mathrm{add}\,M[i]$. Then there is a derived equivalence of dg algebras $\mathscr{H}om(X \oplus M, X \oplus M)$ and $\mathscr{H}om(Y \oplus M, Y \oplus M)$.

Proof. Suppose that M is a dg A-module in $\mathscr{K}(A)$. Let

$$X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$$

be a triangle in $\mathscr{K}(A)$ with $M_1 \in < M >$. Then there is a triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \oplus M \xrightarrow{g} Y \oplus M \xrightarrow{h} X[1].$$

Therefore, $Y \oplus M \in \mathrm{thick}(X \oplus M)$ and $X \oplus M \in \mathrm{thick}(Y \oplus M)$. Set $U = X \oplus M$ and $V = Y \oplus M$. By Lemma 3.4, the dg bimodule $\mathscr{H}om_A(U,V)$ defines a derived equivalence between $\mathscr{H}om(X \oplus M, X \oplus M)$ and $\mathscr{H}om(Y \oplus M, Y \oplus M)$. □

By Theorem 3.5 and [Dug15, Theorem 4.1], we can restate the above theorem for the case of a k-algebra A.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that A is a k-algebra and $M^\bullet$ is a complex in $\mathscr{K}(A)$. Let*

$$X^\bullet \xrightarrow{f^\bullet} {M_1}^\bullet \xrightarrow{g^\bullet} Y^\bullet \xrightarrow{h^\bullet} X^\bullet[1]$$

be a triangle in $\mathscr{K}(A)$ with ${M_1}^\bullet \in < M^\bullet >$. Assume that $f^\bullet$ is a left $< M^\bullet >$-approximation of $X^\bullet$ and $g^\bullet$ is a right $< M^\bullet >$-approximation of $Y^\bullet$. Then

(1). There is a derived equivalence between dg algebras $\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet, X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(Y^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet, Y^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)$.

(2). The cohomology rings $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)$ and $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(Y^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)$ are also derived equivalent, where $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet, (X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)[i])$.

Remark 3.7. *There is a derived equivalence between dg algebras $\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet, X^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(Y^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet, Y^\bullet \oplus M^\bullet)$. Under some mild conditions, we get the cohomology rings of these dg algebra are derived equivalent. This gives an affirmative answer to a problem of Dugas [Dug15] in some special case.*

Corollary 3.8. *[Dug15, Theorem 5.2] Let A be a symmetric algebra and $X^\bullet$ and $M^\bullet$ be any complexes in $\mathscr{K}^b(\text{proj-}A)$. Then there exists a left $< M^\bullet >$-approximation $X^\bullet \xrightarrow{f^\bullet} M'^\bullet$ of $X^\bullet$ in $\mathscr{K}^b(A)$. If $Y^\bullet = \text{cone}(f^\bullet)$, we get the derived equivalence between $\text{Hom}_A^\bullet(X \oplus M, X \oplus M)$ and $\text{Hom}_A^\bullet(Y \oplus M, Y \oplus M)$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}(A)}$ denote the orbit category $\mathscr{K}(A)/[1]$. Then there is a triangle

$$X^\bullet \xrightarrow{f^\bullet} M'^\bullet \xrightarrow{g^\bullet} Y^\bullet \xrightarrow{h} X^\bullet[1]$$

such that $M'^\bullet \in \text{add}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{K}(A)}} M$, $f^\bullet$ is a left $< M^\bullet >$-approximation and $g^\bullet$ is a right $< M^\bullet >$-approximation. It completes the proof by Theorem 3.5. □

Example 3.9. *[Dug15, Section 7] We now show how to realize dg algebras in one concrete case. Set*

$$A = k[x, y]/(x^n - y^s, xy)$$

and consider

$$T^\bullet = A \xrightarrow{(x,0)} A \oplus A$$

concentrated in degree -1 and 0. Write $T^\bullet = T_1^\bullet \oplus T_2^\bullet$, where

$$T_1^\bullet := (0 \xrightarrow{0} A) \quad \textit{and} \quad T_2^\bullet := (A \xrightarrow{x} A).$$

Then we get

$$T_2^\bullet \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma\epsilon \end{bmatrix}} T_1^\bullet[1] \oplus T_1^\bullet$$

is a left $< T_1^\bullet >$-approximation, yielding the following triangle in $\mathscr{K}^b(\text{proj-}A)$

$$(A \xrightarrow{x} A) \xrightarrow{(1,y)} (A \xrightarrow{0} A) \xrightarrow{(x,1)} (A \xrightarrow{y} A) \longrightarrow (A \xrightarrow{x} A)[1],$$

where

$$\gamma: \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}, \quad \epsilon: \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle y} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{x} & A \end{array}.$$

Theorem 3.5 thus shows that two dg algebras

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_0 \xrightarrow{-x} A_1 \\ A_{-1} & A_{-1} \xrightarrow{x} A_0 \\ {\scriptstyle x}\downarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} \quad {\scriptstyle x}\downarrow \\ A_0 & A_0 \xrightarrow{-x} A_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_0 \xrightarrow{-y} A_1 \\ A_{-1} & A_{-1} \xrightarrow{y} A_0 \\ {\scriptstyle y}\downarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle y} \quad {\scriptstyle y}\downarrow \\ A_0 & A_0 \xrightarrow{-y} A_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent, where $A_{-1} = A_0 = A_1 = A$ concentrate in degree $-1, 0, 1$, respectively.

4 Derived equivalence of dg-algebras induced from standard derived equivalences of finite dimensional algebras

Starting from a standard derived equivalences of finite dimensional algebras, we construct in this section derived equivalences of two dg algebras. Moreover, under some conditions, the cohomology rings of these dg algebra are also derived equivalent. Furthermore, the degree zero subalgebras of these cohomology rings are also derived equivalent.

Let A and B be finite dimensional algebras in this section. Recall that a standard derived equivalence between derived categories $\mathscr{D}^-(\text{Mod-}A)$ and $\mathscr{D}^-(\text{Mod-}B)$ is an exact functor such that it is an equivalence and is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(X^\bullet, -)$ for some object $X^\bullet$ of $\mathscr{D}^b(\text{Mod-}A \otimes B)$ [Ric91]. An object $X^\bullet$ of $\mathscr{D}^b(\text{Mod-}A \otimes B)$ is called a two-sided tilting complex if it induces such an equivalence. The following lemmas are useful.

Lemma 4.1. *[NS12, Corollary 3.19] Let $F : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ be a triangle functor of triangulated categories and $T \in \mathcal{T}$ an object. Then*

$$F(\mathrm{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}(T)) \subseteq \mathrm{thick}_{\mathcal{T}'}(F(T)).$$

Lemma 4.2. *[HX10, Lemma 2.1] Let $F : \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}B)$ be a derived equivalence. For each A-module X, the image $F(X)$ is isomorphic, in $\mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}B)$, to a complex $\overline{T}_X^\bullet$ of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{T}_X^0 \longrightarrow \overline{T}_X^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{T}_X^n \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\overline{T}_X^i \in \mathrm{Add}(\overline{T}^+)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, if X admits a projective resolution $P_X^\bullet$ with P_X^i finitely generated for $0 \leq i \leq m$ with $m \geq n$. Then $\overline{T}_X^i$ can be chosen to be finitely generated for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and T_X^0 admits a projective resolution $Q^\bullet$ where Q^i is finitely generated forn $- m \leq i \leq 0$.

Lemma 4.3. *[HX10, Proposition 3.4] Let $F : \mathscr{D}^b(\text{mod-}A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}^b(\text{mod-}B)$ be a derived equivalence. Then there is an additive functor*

$$\underline{F} : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A \to \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B$$

sending X to $\underline{F}(X)$, such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathscr{D}^b(\text{mod-}A)/\mathscr{K}^b(\text{proj-}A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{F}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} \\ \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathscr{D}^b(\text{mod-}A)/\mathscr{K}^b(\text{proj-}B) \end{array}$$

is commutative up to natural isomorphism.

Lemma 4.4. *[ELO09, Proposition 8.3] or [PSY14, Proposition 3.3]. Assume that dg A-modules X and Y are homotopy equivalent. Then the dg algebras $\Lambda = \mathscr{H}om_A(X, X)$, $\Gamma = \mathscr{H}om(Y, Y)$ are quasi-isomorphic. Moreover, we have a triangle equivalence $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathscr{D}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We give a new proof of $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathscr{D}(\Gamma)$ by Lemma 3.4 for reader's convenience. Since dg A-modules X and Y are homotopy equivalent,

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(X) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(A)}(Y).$$

Let $\Lambda = \mathscr{H}om_A(X, X)$ and $\Gamma = \mathscr{H}om_A(Y, Y)$. There is a dg Γ-Λ-bimodule $\mathscr{H}om_A(X, Y)$ which yields a triangle equivalence between $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathscr{D}(\Gamma)$ by Lemma 3.4. □

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose k is a field. Let $F : \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}(\text{Mod-}B)$ be a standard derived equivalence and*

$$\underline{F} : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A \longrightarrow \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B$$

be the additive functor induced induced by F as in Lemma 4.3. Then there is a derived equivalence between dg algebras $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X, A \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_B(B \oplus \underline{F}(X), B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$ for each A-module X.

Remark 4.6. *(1). If $X \in {}^\perp A$, then there is a derived equivalence between dg algebras $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X, A \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_B(B \oplus \underline{F}(X), B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$ by Theorem 4.5. Moreover, the cohomology rings $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(A \oplus X)$ and $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$ of $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X, A \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_B(B \oplus \underline{F}(X), B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$, respectively, are derived equivalent by [Pan14, Theorem 1.1]. (2). Let A be a selfinjective algebra. Then there is a derived equivalence between dg algebras $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X, A \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_B(B \oplus \underline{F}(X), B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$ by Theorem 4.5. Moreover, the cohomology rings $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(A \oplus X)$ and $H^{\mathbb{Z}}(B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$ of $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X, A \oplus X)$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_B(B \oplus \underline{F}(X), B \oplus \underline{F}(X))$, respectively, are derived equivalent by [HX13, Theorem 1.1].*

Proof. Let $U = A \oplus X$ and $P_U^\bullet$ be a projective resolution of U. Then we have

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(U, U) = \mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_U^\bullet, P_U^\bullet).$$

Suppose $P_U{}^\bullet$ and $Q_U{}^\bullet$ are two projective resolutions of U which are homotopy equivalent, and let

$$\Lambda_1 = \mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_U{}^\bullet, P_U{}^\bullet), \Lambda_2 = \mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(Q_U{}^\bullet, Q_U{}^\bullet)$$

be two dg algebras. Then we have a triangle equivalence between $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda_1)$ and $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda_2)$ by Lemma 4.4. So the dg endomorphism algebras $\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_U{}^\bullet, P_U{}^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_V{}^\bullet, P_V{}^\bullet)$ are unique under derived equivalences, where $P_V^\bullet$ is a projective resolution of $V = B \oplus \underline{F}(X)$.

It suffices to consider in the following, we consider the dg algebras $\Lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_U{}^\bullet, P_U{}^\bullet)$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_V{}^\bullet, P_V{}^\bullet)$.

Let $\bar{T}_U^\bullet = F(P_U^\bullet)$ and $P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}$ be a projective resolution of $\bar{T}_U^\bullet$. Set $\Lambda' = \mathrm{Hom}_B{}^\bullet(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}, P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet})$. We claim that there is a derived equivalence between Λ and Γ. We are going to prove the claim. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\bar{T}_U^\bullet$ is isomorphic, in $\mathscr{D}(B\text{-mod})$, to a complex of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{T}_U^0 \longrightarrow \bar{T}_U^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bar{T}_U^n \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\bar{T}_U^i$ projective for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

By Lemma 2.1, $\bar{T}^\bullet$ is a tilting complex for B, we see that $\mathrm{add}\,\bar{T}^\bullet$ generates $\mathscr{K}^b(\mathrm{add}_B\, B)$ as triangulated category. All the terms of $\bar{T}^\bullet$ are in $\mathrm{add}_B\, B$. From the distinguished triangle

$$\bar{T}^+ \to \bar{T}_U^\bullet \to \bar{T}_U^0 \to \bar{T}_U^+[1],$$

it follows that $\bar{T}_U^0$ is in the triangulated subcategory generated by $\mathrm{add}(\bar{T}_U^\bullet)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{add}\,\bar{T}_U^\bullet$ generates $\mathscr{K}^b(\mathrm{add}_B\, N)$ as a triangulated category. Consequently,

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(\bar{T}_U^\bullet) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(V).$$

The definition of $P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}$ implies

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(\bar{T}_U^\bullet).$$

Similarly,

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(P_V^\bullet) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(V).$$

The canonical functor $\mathscr{K}(B) \xrightarrow{q} \mathscr{D}(B)$ induces an equivalence between $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet})$ and $\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet})$ by [HK03, Remark 1.7]. Since

$$V \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(V) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(\bar{T}_U^\bullet),$$

Lemma 4.1 shows $V \in \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(\bar{T}_U^\bullet)$. Thus we get

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(V) \subseteq \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(\bar{T}_U^\bullet) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}).$$

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(P_V^\bullet) = \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(V) \subseteq \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{D}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}).$$

It follows from [HK03, Remark 1.7] that

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(P_V^\bullet) \subseteq \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}).$$

Similarly,

$$\mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}) \subseteq \mathrm{thick}_{\mathscr{K}(B)}(P_V^\bullet).$$

Then there is a dg Γ-Λ'-bimodule $\mathrm{Hom}_B{}^\bullet(P^\bullet_{\bar{T}_U^\bullet}, P_V{}^\bullet)$ which induces the derived equivalence between Λ' and Γ by Lemma 3.4. It completes the proof of the claim.

Now, it suffices to show that Λ and Λ' are derived equivalent.

Since F is a standard derived equivalence, we write $F \simeq Y^\bullet \otimes_A^L -$, where $Y^\bullet$ is a bounded above complex of projective B-A-bimodules [Ric91]. Then

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_B(\bar{T}_U^\bullet, \bar{T}_U^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_B^\bullet(Y^\bullet \otimes_A^L P_U^\bullet, Y^\bullet \otimes_A^L P_U^\bullet) = \mathrm{Hom}_B^\bullet(Y^\bullet \otimes_A P_U^\bullet, Y^\bullet \otimes_A P_U^\bullet) \simeq \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sqcap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_B(M^p, M^{p+n}).$$

We set $M^\bullet = Y^\bullet \otimes_A^L P_U^\bullet$ and then $M^p = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} Y^{p-i} \otimes_A P_U^i$. Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_B(M^p, M^{p+n}) = \mathrm{Hom}_B(\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} Y^{p-i} \otimes_A P_U^i, \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} Y^{p-i} \otimes_A P_U^{n+i}).$$

Now, we can define a dg algebra homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A{}^\bullet(P_U^\bullet, P_U^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B{}^\bullet(M^\bullet, M^\bullet)$$

defined by

$$(f^i) \to (g^p),$$

where $g^p = (Y^{p-i} \otimes f^i)$. Indeed, we the above dg algebra homomorphism is a chain map between complexes. Then we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqcap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_B(P_U^i, P_U^{i+n}) & \xrightarrow{d} & \sqcap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_B(P_U^i, P_U^{i+n+1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \sqcap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_B(M^p, M^{p+n+1}) & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \sqcap_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_B(M^p, M^{p+n+1}), \end{array}$$

chasing by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (f^i) & \xrightarrow{d} & (d f^i - (-1)^n f^{i+1} d) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ((1\otimes f^i)) & \xrightarrow{d} & (d(1\otimes f^i) - (-1)^n (1\otimes f^{i+1}) d). \end{array}$$

This process can be expressed as the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_U^i & \xrightarrow{f^i} & P_U^{i+n} \\ {\scriptstyle d}\downarrow & \searrow^{d f^i - (-1)^n f^{i+1} d} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d} \\ P_U^{i+1} & \xrightarrow[f^{i+1}]{} & P_U^{n+i+1}, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^{p-i}\otimes_A P_U^i & \xrightarrow{1\otimes f^i} & Y^{p-i}\otimes_A P_U^{i+n} \\ {\scriptstyle 1\otimes d}\downarrow & \searrow^{(1\otimes d)(1\otimes f^i) - (-1)^n (1\otimes f^{i+1})(1\otimes d)} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes d} \\ Y^{p-i}\otimes_A P_U^{i+1} & \xrightarrow[1\otimes f^{i+1}]{} & Y^{p-i}\otimes_A P_U^{n+i+1}, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^{p-i}\otimes_A P_U^i & \xrightarrow{1\otimes f^i} & Y^{p-i}\otimes_A P_U^{i+n} \\ {\scriptstyle d\otimes 1}\downarrow & \searrow^{(d\otimes 1)(1\otimes f^i) - (-1)^n (1\otimes f^i)(d\otimes 1)} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d\otimes 1} \\ Y^{p+1-i}\otimes_A P_U^i & \xrightarrow[1\otimes f^i]{} & Y^{p+1-i}\otimes_A P_U^{n+i}. \end{array}$$

That is,

$$d f^i - (-1)^n f^{i+1} d \longmapsto ((1\otimes d) - (-1)^n (1\otimes f^{i+1})(1\otimes d), (d\otimes 1) - (-1)^n (1\otimes f^i)(d\otimes 1)).$$

Therefore, the dg algebras $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(P_U^\bullet, P_U^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_B^\bullet(M^\bullet, M^\bullet)$ are quasi-isomorphic. It follows that Λ and Λ' are quasi-isomorphic. Then the dg algebras Λ and Λ' are derived equivalent by Corollary 2.8. By the above argument, we conclude that the dg algebras Λ and Γ are derived equivalent. □

Example 4.7. *Let $A = k[x]/(x^n)$. Then A is a representation-finite self-injective algebra. Denote the indecomposable A-module by*

$$X_r := k[x]/(x^r)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Theorem 4.5 thus shows that two dg algebras $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X_r)$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \oplus X_{n-r}$ are derived equivalent. Take the minimal projective resolutions

$$P_{X_r}^\bullet =: \cdots \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f_r} A \xrightarrow{f_{n-r}} A \xrightarrow{f_r} A \xrightarrow{f_{n-r}} A \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$P_{X_{n-r}}^\bullet =: \cdots \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f_{n-r}} A \xrightarrow{f_r} A \xrightarrow{f_{n-r}} A \xrightarrow{f_r} A \longrightarrow 0$$

of X_r and X_{n-r}, respectively, where $f_r : X_n \longrightarrow X_n$ is defined by

$$1 + (x^n) \mapsto x^{n-r} + (x^n)$$

and $f_{n-r} : X_n \longrightarrow X_n$ defined by

$$1 + (x^n) \mapsto x^r + (x^n)$$

see [Zhe15] for more details. Then two dg algebras $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(A \oplus P_{X_r}^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(A \oplus P_{X_{n-r}}^\bullet)$ are derived equivalent.

It is known that the $\mathbb{N}$-Auslander-Yoneda algebras $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbb{N}}(A \oplus X_r)$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbb{N}}(A \oplus X_{n-r})$ are derived equivalent, and they are described in terms of quivers with relations [Zhe15]. Then we get the derived equivalence between dg algebras $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(A \oplus P_{X_r}^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet(A \oplus P_{X_{n-r}}^\bullet)$, and their cohomology rings $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbb{N}}(A \oplus X_r)$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbb{N}}(A \oplus X_{n-r})$ are also derived equivalent.

Acknowledgements. S. Y. Pan is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11201022), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2015JBM101). The authors thank Prof. Wei Hu for his useful suggestions and discussions.

References

[CY15] X. Chen and D. Yang, Homotopy categories, Leavitt path algebras and Gorenstein projective modules, *Intrer. Math. Res. Notice* **2015** (2015), 2597–2633.

[Dug15] A. Dugas, A construction of derived equivalent pairs of symmetric algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143** (2015), 2281–2300.

[ELO09] A. I. Efimov, V. A. Lunts, and D. O. Orlov, Deformation theory of objects in homotopy and derived categories I: General theory, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), 359–401.

[Hap88] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, **119**, Cambridge University Press, 1988.

[HK03] M. Hoshino and Y. Kato, Tilting complexes associated with a sequence of idempotents, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **183** (2003), 105–124.

[HX10] W. Hu and C. C. Xi, Derived equivalences and stable equivalences of Morita type, I, *Nagoya Math. J.* **200** (2010), 107–152.

[HX13] ———, Derived equivalences for Φ-Auslander-Yoneda algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 5681–5711.

[IT13] O. Iyama and R. Takahashi, Tilting and cluster tilting for quotient singularities, *Math. Ann.* **356** (2013), 1065–1105.

[Kel94] B. Keller, Deriving dg categories, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **27** (1994), 63–102.

[Kel98] ———, Invariance and localization for cyclic homology of DG algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **123** (1998), 223–273.

[Kel06] ———, On differential graded categories, in *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190.

[Nee01] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, no. 148, Princeton University Press, 2001.

[NS12] P. Nicolas and M. Saorin, Generalized tilting theory, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1208.2803* (2012).

[NS14] ———, Classical derived functors as fully faithful embeddings, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.4726* (2014).

[Pan14] S. Pan, Derived equivalences for Φ-Cohen-Macaulay Auslander-Yoneda algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **17** (2014), 885–903.

[PSY14] M. Porta, L. Shaul, and A. Yekutieli, Completion by derived double centralizer, *Algebr. Represent. Theor.* **17** (2014), 481–494.

[Ric89] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categories, *J. London Math. Soc.* **39** (1989), 436–456.

[Ric91] ———, Derived equivalences as derived functors, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1991), 37–48.

[Zhe15] R. Zheng, On the Auslander-Yoneda algebras of modules over $k[x]/(x^n)$, *Algebra Collq.* **22** (2015), 147–162.

Shengyong Pan

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, 100044 Beijing, China

Beijing Center for Mathematics and Information Interdisciplinary Sciences, 100048 Beijing, China

Email: shypan@bjtu.edu.cn

Zhen Peng

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anyang Normal University, 455000 Anyang, China

Email: pzhen2002@163.com

Jie Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, 100081 Beijing, China

Email: jiezhang@bit.edu.cn